UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,425	11/12/2003	Joseph J. Kubler	14364US11	8617
Christopher C. Winslade McAndrews, Held & Malloy Suite 3400 500 W. Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661			EXAMINER	
			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,425	KUBLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BO HUI A. ZHU	2619
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 22-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable acceptable and acceptable accepta	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/706,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment submitted with the RCE filed on May 16, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 22 – 55 are pending.

Claims 22 - 55 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyerson et al. (US 5,579,487) in view of Morris et al. (US 4,884,132) and further in view of Gans et al. (US 5,610,617).
 - (1) with regard to claims 22, 37 and 49:

Meyerson et al. discloses a system and method, comprising: an imaging device (CCD, 160 on Fig. 6; column 9 line 27) for capturing an image; processing circuitry (CPU 142 on Fig. 6 and circuitry 10, 16, 22, 50 on Fig. 1) for processing the image; a wireless communication interface (RF MOD, 30 on Fig. 1; column 5, lines 58 - 61); a

display deice (display, 50 on Fig. 1; column 6, lines 34 – 35) for providing feedback to a user;

Meyerson et al. does not expressly disclose using the wireless communication interface for transmitting image; and a path used by the device to wirelessly communicate data is automatically selected based upon a type of data being communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed image data/or speech data.

Morris et al. teaches an image being processed and transmitted over a celluar network (column 1, lines 35 - 39); and selecting a path automatically to be used by the device to wirelessly communicate data based upon a type of data being communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed image data/or speech data (column 1, lines 35 – 68, processed image data and/or speech data are transmitted wirelessly).

It would have been desirable to transmit image data over a wireless network and selecting a path automatically to be used by the device to wirelessly communicate data based upon a type of data being communicated wherein the type of data is one or both of processed image data/or speech data, because it would improve the productivity of the system by having the processed image and/or speech data available to user at a remote location. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the system of Meyerson et al. with the teaching of Morris et al.

Meyerson et al. does not disclose the path is selected from a plurality of communication paths.

Gans et al. teaches selecting a path from a plurality of communication paths (e.g. see column 5, lines 40 - 43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Meyerson et al. to determine and select the optimum transmission path to transmit data as taught by Gans et al. in order to optimize the transmission quality of the data.

(2) with regard to claims 23, 39 and 51:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the imaging device is a charge coupled device (column 9, line 27).

(3) with regard to claims 24, 40 and 52:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the image is a one dimensional code or a two dimensional code (column 9, lines 28 - 29).

(4) with regard to claims 25 - 27 and 41 - 42:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the image is text, handwriting or a picture (text, handwriting or pictures can all be considered as a form of image, in one form or another; and the process in which image is being captured is viewed as the same function as information in the image is being identified).

(5) with regard to claims 28 and 47:

Meyerson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above but fails to expressly disclose that the wireless communication interface (30; column 5, lines 58 – 60) is used for communication speech.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of speech communication in cellular network is well known in the art. And it would have been desirable to use wireless communication interface for communicating speech because it would enable speech to be available to a remote location, thus increase the productivity of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include speech communication into the system of Meyerson et al.

(6) with regard to claims 29 and 46:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the wireless communication interface is compatible with a cellular network (column 5, lines 58 - 60).

(7) with regard to claim 30:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the wireless communication interface uses a spread spectrum technique (column 5, lines 58 - 61).

(8) with regard to claims 31 - 33 and 45:

Meyerson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above but fails to expressly disclose that transmitting the image to a local area network, a packet network, or a TCP/IP network.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that local area network, packet network, and TCP/IP network are all well known in the art. It would have been desirable to transmit image over these networks because it would enable the image to be available to viewers as a remote location, and also is economical incentive since TCP/IP is a widely used technology and using it would eliminate the need for designing a brand new

network protocol, make the network easier to be accessible by other networks.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use TCP/IP packet network and local area network in the system of Meyerson et al.

(9) with regard to claims 34, 35, 43, 44, 53 and 54:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that decoding the image from a first representation to a second representation; and the second representation is a digital representation (the image signal captured by the CCD (160) is the first representation, the processing circuitry as shown on Fig. 1 has to decode the image to digital format, which is the second representation, in order for it to be processed by, for example the CPU 10 or the RAM unit 12; for a description of the operation of CPU 10 and RAM 12, please see column 4, line 45 - column 5, line 57).

(10) with regard to claim 36:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that a character recognition process (column 9, line 28, a bar code scanner does character recognition).

(11) with regard to claims 38 and 50:

Meyerson et al. further discloses that the capturing, the processing and the transmitting occurs within the same device (work slate unit, A on Fig. 1).

(12) with regard to claims 48 and 55:

Meyerson et al. further discloses displaying information to a user (column 6, lines 34-35).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22 - 55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendments to the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BO HUI A. ZHU whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,425 Page 8

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ EXAMINER July 29, 2008

/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619